

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/699,080	10/31/2003	Barbara Isenberg	03727-P0048C	1847		
24126	7590 06/16/2005		EXAMINER ·			
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC 986 BEDFORD STREET			MILLER,	MILLER, BENA B		
STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		3725			

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_					,		
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)			
			,080	ISENBERG, BARBARA			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er _.	Art Unit			
		Bena M		3725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wire to reply within the set or extended period for reply wire ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tim tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a)□)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-23</u> is/are rejecte Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from c		-			
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or t	o) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection			, ,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three oath or declaration is objected to be						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🗌 a) l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International cee the attached detailed Office action from the certification from the certifica	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docum Il Bureau (PCT Ru	een received. een received in Application nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
			Λ				
Attachmen			Bence	Milli			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	1-Q48\	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat				
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT			atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/699,080 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the a hole in the nose, neck, hand, finger, toe, wrist, arm, shoulder, leg, ankle, knee, elbow, paw, tail and a hip must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/699,080

Art Unit: 3725

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1-4, 6, 7, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Philippi in view of Doran et al and Unalp et al.

Philippi teaches in the figures most of the elements in the claimed invention, including a modified surface (fig.1). However, Philippi fails to teach a plush doll, a hole through an ear and at least one ferrous portion permanently embedded completely inside the interior. Doran et al teach a doll stage construction wherein the doll is moved by magnet 17. Unalp et al teaches a doll having a hole within the ear of the doll. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a doll as taught by Doran for the toy of Philippi for the purpose of simulating a puppet show. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a hole as taught by Unalp et al in the toy of Philippi for the purpose adding interest to the doll's appearance. It is well known in the prior art that dolls are made of plush. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to make the toy of Philippi plush for the purpose of preventing injury to a child.

Claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unalp et al in view of Woolington.

Unalp et al teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention, except for a plush teddy bear doll. Woolington teaches a plush teddy bear talking doll. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use plush as taught by Woolington for the toy of Unalp et al for the purpose preventing injury to a child.

Claims 8-13,15, 18, 19, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doran et al in view of Woolington.

Doran et al teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention, except for a plush teddy bear doll. Woolington teaches a plush teddy bear talking doll. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use plush as taught by Woolington for the toy of Unalp et al for the purpose preventing injury to a child.

Regarding claims 18-20, the examiner considers the stage of Doran as set forth above meets the limitation of a box and the examiner takes the position that the stage box of Philippi is capable of storing the figure, manipulator and the at least one accessory.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

bbm June 12, 2005